UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,545	12/27/2004	Evyatar Erell	135.007US0 <u>1</u>	4338
34206 FOGG & POW	7590 09/28/2007		EXAMINER	
10 SOUTH FIF			REDMAN, JERRY E	
SUITE 1000 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@fogglaw.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/500,545	ERELL, EVYATAR				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jerry Redman	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv	tion No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ea.				
Attachmer	nt(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3634

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 8-16, drawn to a method of repositioning a window pane assembly, classified in class 49, subclass 506.
- II. Claims 1-7, drawn to a window apparatus, classified in class 49, subclass162.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as proving a pivot axis of a movable frame within a fixed frame, rotating the movable frame 90 degrees, providing a mounting for a water impermeable sealing element hingedly attached to an interior of the fixed frame, then rotating the movable frame 90 degrees further to rotate to a second position.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

. . . .

Art Unit: 3634

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group III-Figures 1-4, and Group IV-Figures 5-8. The species are independent or distinct because they would require a different word search in the computer data base.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species AND an invention discussed in detail above to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3634

The election of an invention AND species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions AND species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions AND species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday; 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634